



**CERTAIN CURVATURE CONDITIONS IN LORENTZIAN
PARA-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS WITH RESPECT TO THE
SEMI-SYMMETRIC METRIC CONNECTION**

ABDUL HASEEB * AND RAJENDRA PRASAD 

ABSTRACT. The object of the present paper is to characterize Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection satisfying certain curvature conditions.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1989, K. Matsumoto [12] introduced the notion of Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds. Again the same notion was studied by I. Mihai and R. Rosca [13] and obtained many results on this manifold. Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds have also been studied by K. Matsumoto and I. Mihai [11], U. C. De et al. [2] and many others such as ([14], [16], [18]).

A linear connection $\bar{\nabla}$ in a Riemannian manifold M is said to be a semi-symmetric connection [4] if the torsion tensor T of the connection $\bar{\nabla}$ defined by

$$T(X, Y) = \bar{\nabla}_X Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y X - [X, Y]$$

Received: 2019-08-27

Revised: 2020-03-01

Accepted: 2020-03-14

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53B05, 53C25.

Key words: Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds, semi-symmetric metric connection, concircular curvature tensor

* Corresponding author

satisfies

$$T(X, Y) = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y, \quad (1.1)$$

where η is a 1-form. If moreover, the connection $\bar{\nabla}$ satisfies the condition

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X g)(Y, Z) = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$, where $\chi(M)$ is the Lie algebra of vector fields of the manifold M , then $\bar{\nabla}$ is said to be a semi-symmetric metric connection, otherwise it is said to be a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. In 1932, H. A. Hayden [7] defined a semi-symmetric metric connection on a Riemannian manifold and this was further developed by K. Yano [21]. A semi-symmetric metric connection have been studied by many authors ([1], [5], [6], [17], [20]) in several ways to a different extent.

A relation between the semi-symmetric metric connection $\bar{\nabla}$ and the Levi-Civita connection ∇ in Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold M is given by [17, 21]

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \eta(Y)X - g(X, Y)\xi. \quad (1.3)$$

The notion of semisymmetric manifold, a proper generalization of locally symmetric manifold, is defined by $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$, where $R(X, Y)$ acts on R as a derivation of the tensor algebra at each point of the manifold for tangent vector fields X, Y . A complete intrinsic classification of these manifolds was given by Z. I. Szabó in [19]. Also in [9], O. Kowalski classified 3-dimensional Riemannian spaces satisfying $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$. A Riemannian manifold is said to be Ricci semisymmetric if $R(X, Y) \cdot S = 0$, where S denotes the Ricci tensor of type $(0, 2)$. A general classification of these manifolds has been worked out by V. A. Mirzoyan [15].

We define endomorphisms $R(X, Y)$ and $X \wedge_A Y$ for an arbitrary vector field Z by

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z, \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$(X \wedge_A Y)Z = A(Y, Z)X - A(X, Z)Y, \quad (1.5)$$

respectively, where $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$ and A is the symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor, R is the Riemannian curvature tensor of type $(1, 3)$.

Furthermore, the tensors $R \cdot R$ and $R \cdot S$ on (M, g) are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (R(X, Y) \cdot R)(U, V)W &= R(X, Y)R(U, V)W - R(R(X, Y)U, V)W \\ &\quad - R(U, R(X, Y)V)W - R(U, V)R(X, Y)W, \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

and

$$(R(X, Y) \cdot S)(U, V) = -S(R(X, Y)U, V) - S(U, R(X, Y)V), \quad (1.7)$$

respectively.

Recently, D. Kowalczyk [8] studied semi-Riemannian manifolds satisfying $Q(Q, R) = 0$ and $Q(S, g) = 0$, where S, R are the Ricci tensor and curvature tensor, respectively. For detailed study of semisymmetric manifolds we refer the readers to see ([3], [10]).

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 is concerned with preliminaries. In Section 3, we obtain the expressions of the curvature tensor \bar{R} and the Ricci tensor \bar{S} with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection. In Section 4, we prove that $R \cdot \bar{S} = 0$ if and only if the manifold is an Einstein manifold with respect to $\bar{\nabla}$. Next in Section 5 (resp., 6), we prove that if the manifold satisfies the curvature condition $\bar{S} \cdot R = 0$ (resp., $R \cdot \bar{R} = 0$), then it is an η -Einstein (resp., Einstein) manifold with respect to $\bar{\nabla}$. Section 7, deals with the study of Ricci semisymmetric Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds and prove that Ricci semisymmetries with respect to ∇ and $\bar{\nabla}$ are equivalent if the manifold is a generalized η -Einstein manifold. In Section 8, we prove that if $C(\xi, X) \cdot \bar{S} = 0$, then either the scalar curvature is constant or the manifold is an Einstein manifold with respect to $\bar{\nabla}$. In the last Section, it is shown that if $\bar{Q} \cdot C = 0$ (where C is the concircular curvature tensor with respect to ∇ and \bar{Q} is the Ricci operator with respect to $\bar{\nabla}$), then either the scalar curvature is constant or the manifold is a special type of η -Einstein manifold with respect to $\bar{\nabla}$. Finally, we construct an example of 5-dimensional Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold.

2. PRELIMINARIES

A differentiable manifold M of dimension n is called a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold, if it admits a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field ϕ , a contravariant vector field ξ , a 1-form η and a Lorentzian metric g which satisfy

$$\phi^2 X = X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = -1, \quad (2.1)$$

$$g(X, \xi) = \eta(X), \quad \phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta(\phi X) = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad (2.3)$$

$$(\nabla_X \phi)(Y) = g(X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X + 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\nabla_X \xi = \phi X, \quad (2.5)$$

where ∇ denotes the covariant differentiation with respect to the Lorentzian metric g . If we put

$$\Phi(X, Y) = g(\phi X, Y) \quad (2.6)$$

for all vector fields X and Y , then $\Phi(X, Y)$ is a symmetric $(0, 2)$ tensor field. Also since the 1-form η is closed in a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold, so we have

$$(\nabla_X \eta)(Y) = \Phi(X, Y), \quad \Phi(X, \xi) = 0 \quad (2.7)$$

for all vector fields $X, Y \in \chi(M)$.

Moreover, the curvature tensor R , the Ricci tensor S and the Ricci operator Q in a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold with respect to the Levi-Civita connection satisfy the following equations [2, 11]:

$$\eta(R(X, Y)Z) = g(Y, Z)\eta(X) - g(X, Z)\eta(Y), \quad (2.8)$$

$$R(\xi, X)Y = -R(X, \xi)Y = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X, \quad (2.9)$$

$$R(X, Y)\xi = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y, \quad (2.10)$$

$$R(\xi, X)\xi = -R(X, \xi)\xi = X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad (2.11)$$

$$S(X, \xi) = (n-1)\eta(X), \quad Q\xi = (n-1)\xi, \quad (2.12)$$

$$S(\phi X, \phi Y) = S(X, Y) + (n-1)\eta(X)\eta(Y) \quad (2.13)$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$, where S and Q are related by $g(QX, Y) = S(X, Y)$.

Definition 2.1. *A Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold M is said to be a generalized η -Einstein manifold if its Ricci tensor S is of the form [23]*

$$S(X, Y) = ag(X, Y) + b\eta(X)\eta(Y) + c\Omega(X, Y),$$

where a, b, c are smooth functions on M and $\Omega(X, Y) = g(\phi X, Y)$. If $c = 0$ (resp., $b = c = 0$), then the manifold reduces to an η -Einstein (resp., an Einstein) manifold.

Definition 2.2. *The concircular curvature tensor C in an n -dimensional Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold M is defined by [22]*

$$C(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z - \frac{r}{n(n-1)}[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y] \quad (2.14)$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$, where R is the Riemannian curvature tensor and r is the scalar curvature of the manifold.

3. CURVATURE TENSOR OF A LORENTZIAN PARA-SASAKIAN MANIFOLD WITH RESPECT TO THE SEMI-SYMMETRIC METRIC CONNECTION

Let M be an n -dimensional Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold. The curvature tensor \bar{R} with respect to $\bar{\nabla}$ is defined by

$$\bar{R}(X, Y)Z = \bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\nabla}_Y Z - \bar{\nabla}_Y \bar{\nabla}_X Z - \bar{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} Z. \quad (3.1)$$

By using (1.2), (1.3), (2.1), (2.2), (2.5) and (2.7) in (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X, Y)Z &= R(X, Y)Z + g(X, \phi Z)Y - g(Y, \phi Z)X - g(Y, Z)\phi X + g(X, Z)\phi Y \\ &\quad + (g(Y, Z)\eta(X) - g(X, Z)\eta(Y))\xi + g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y \\ &\quad + (\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y)\eta(Z), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z$$

is the Riemannian curvature tensor with respect to ∇ . By contracting (3.2) over X , we obtain

$$\bar{S}(Y, Z) = S(Y, Z) - (n-2)g(Y, \phi Z) + (n-2-\psi)g(Y, Z) + (n-2)\eta(Y)\eta(Z), \quad (3.3)$$

where \bar{S} and S are the Ricci tensors of the connections $\bar{\nabla}$ and ∇ , respectively and $\psi = \text{trace}\phi$.

The equation (3.3) yields

$$\bar{Q}Y = QY - (n-2)\phi Y + (n-2-\psi)Y + (n-2)\eta(Y)\xi, \quad (3.4)$$

where \bar{Q} and Q are the Ricci operators of the connections $\bar{\nabla}$ and ∇ , respectively.

Contracting again Y and Z in (3.3), it follows that

$$\bar{r} = r + (n-1)(n-2-2\psi), \quad (3.5)$$

where \bar{r} and r are the scalar curvatures of the connections $\bar{\nabla}$ and ∇ , respectively.

Lemma 3.1. *Let M be an n -dimensional Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection. Then*

$$\bar{R}(X, Y)\xi = \eta(Y)(X - \phi X) - \eta(X)(Y - \phi Y), \quad (3.6)$$

$$\bar{R}(\xi, X)Y = (g(X, Y) - g(X, \phi Y))\xi - \eta(Y)(X - \phi X), \quad (3.7)$$

$$\bar{R}(\xi, X)\xi = X - \phi X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\bar{S}(X, \xi) = (n-1-\psi)\eta(X), \quad \bar{Q}\xi = (n-1-\psi)\xi, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\bar{S}(\phi X, \phi Y) = \bar{S}(X, Y) + (n - 1 - \psi)\eta(X)\eta(Y). \quad (3.10)$$

Proof. By taking $Z = \xi$ in (3.2) and using (2.1), (2.2), (2.10), we get (3.6). (3.7) follows from (2.1), (2.2), (2.9) and (3.6). By taking $Y = \xi$ in (3.7) and using (2.1), (2.2) we obtain (3.8). From (3.3), (2.1), (2.2) and (2.12) we find (3.9). By replacing $Y = \phi X$ and $Z = \phi Y$ in (3.3) and then using (2.1)-(2.3) and (2.13) we get (3.10).

4. LORENTZIAN PARA-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS WITH RESPECT TO THE SEMI-SYMMETRIC METRIC CONNECTION SATISFYING $R(X, Y) \cdot \bar{S} = 0$

Suppose that a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection $\bar{\nabla}$ satisfies the condition

$$R(X, Y) \cdot \bar{S} = 0. \quad (4.1)$$

Then in view of (1.7), it follows that

$$\bar{S}(R(X, Y)U, V) + \bar{S}(U, R(X, Y)V) = 0$$

which by putting $X = \xi$ and using (2.9) takes the form

$$g(Y, U)\bar{S}(\xi, V) - \eta(U)\bar{S}(Y, V) + g(Y, V)\bar{S}(U, \xi) - \eta(V)\bar{S}(U, Y) = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

By taking $U = \xi$ in (4.2) and using (2.1), (2.2) and (3.9), we obtain

$$\bar{S}(Y, V) = (n - 1 - \psi)g(Y, V). \quad (4.3)$$

From which we have

$$\bar{Q}V = (n - 1 - \psi)V. \quad (4.4)$$

Conversely, if (4.3) satisfies, then by using (4.4) in the expression $(R(X, Y) \cdot \bar{S})(U, V) = -\bar{S}(R(X, Y)U, V) - \bar{S}(U, R(X, Y)V) = -g(R(X, Y)U, \bar{Q}V) - g(\bar{Q}U, R(X, Y)V)$, we find

$$(R(X, Y) \cdot \bar{S})(U, V) = -(n - 1 - \psi)(g(R(X, Y)U, V) + g(U, R(X, Y)V)) \quad (4.5)$$

which by using the fact that $g(R(X, Y)U, V) + g(U, R(X, Y)V) = 0$ reduces to $(R(X, Y) \cdot \bar{S})(U, V) = 0$. Thus we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. *If an n -dimensional Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold with respect to semi-symmetric metric connection satisfies the condition $R \cdot \bar{S} = 0$, then the manifold is an Einstein manifold of the form (4.3) and the converse is also true.*

5. LORENTZIAN PARA-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS WITH RESPECT TO THE SEMI-SYMMETRIC METRIC CONNECTION SATISFYING $\bar{S} \cdot R = 0$

Suppose that a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection satisfies $(\bar{S}(X, Y) \cdot R)(U, V)Z = 0$. Then we have [8]

$$\begin{aligned} (X \wedge_{\bar{S}} Y)R(U, V)Z + R((X \wedge_{\bar{S}} Y)U, V)Z + R(U, (X \wedge_{\bar{S}} Y)V)Z \\ + R(U, V)(X \wedge_{\bar{S}} Y)Z = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

for any $X, Y, Z, U, V \in \chi(M)$. Taking $Y = \xi$ in (5.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (X \wedge_{\bar{S}} \xi)R(U, V)Z + R((X \wedge_{\bar{S}} \xi)U, V)Z + R(U, (X \wedge_{\bar{S}} \xi)V)Z \\ + R(U, V)(X \wedge_{\bar{S}} \xi)Z = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

which in view of (1.5) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S}(\xi, R(U, V)Z)X - \bar{S}(X, R(U, V)Z)\xi + R(\bar{S}(\xi, U)X - \bar{S}(X, U)\xi, V)Z \\ + R(U, \bar{S}(\xi, V)X - \bar{S}(X, V)\xi)Z + R(U, V)(\bar{S}(\xi, Z)X - \bar{S}(X, Z)\xi) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

By using (3.9) in (5.3), we find

$$\begin{aligned} (n - 1 - \psi)[\eta(R(U, V)Z)X + \eta(U)R(X, V)Z + \eta(V)R(U, X)Z + \eta(Z)R(U, V)X] \\ - \bar{S}(X, R(U, V)Z)\xi - \bar{S}(X, U)R(\xi, V)Z - \bar{S}(X, V)R(U, \xi)Z - \bar{S}(X, Z)R(U, V)\xi = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Now taking inner product of (5.4) with ξ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (n - 1 - \psi)[\eta(R(U, V)Z)\eta(X) + \eta(U)\eta(R(X, V)Z) + \eta(V)\eta(R(U, X)Z) \\ + \eta(Z)\eta(R(U, V)X)] + \bar{S}(X, R(U, V)Z) - \bar{S}(X, U)\eta(R(\xi, V)Z) \\ - \bar{S}(X, V)\eta(R(U, \xi)Z) - \bar{S}(X, Z)\eta(R(U, V)\xi) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

which by putting $U = Z = \xi$ and using (3.6)-(3.8) reduces to

$$(n - 1 - \psi)(g(X, V) + \eta(X)\eta(V)) + \bar{S}(X, V + \eta(V)\xi) = 0$$

from which it follows that

$$\bar{S}(X, V) = -(n - 1 - \psi)g(X, V) - 2(n - 1 - \psi)\eta(X)\eta(V). \quad (5.5)$$

Thus we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 5.1. *If an n -dimensional Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection satisfies the condition $\bar{S} \cdot R = 0$, then the manifold is an η -Einstein manifold of the form (5.5).*

6. LORENTZIAN PARA-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS WITH RESPECT TO THE SEMI-SYMMETRIC METRIC CONNECTION SATISFYING $R \cdot \bar{R} = 0$

Let M be an n -dimensional Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection satisfies $(R(X, Y) \cdot \bar{R})(U, V)W = 0$. Then in view of (1.6), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)\bar{R}(U, V)W - \bar{R}(R(X, Y)U, V)W - \bar{R}(U, R(X, Y)V)W \\ - \bar{R}(U, V)R(X, Y)W = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

By substituting $X = U = \xi$ in (6.1) and using (2.2), (2.9), (2.11) and (3.7), we find

$$\begin{aligned} g(V, W)Y - g(V, \phi W)Y - \bar{R}(Y, V)W - \eta(V)g(Y, \phi W)\xi \\ + \eta(V)\eta(W)\phi Y - g(Y, W)V + g(Y, W)\phi V = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

Taking inner product of (6.2) with Z , we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(V, W)g(Y, Z) - g(V, \phi W)g(Y, Z) - g(\bar{R}(Y, V)W, Z) - \eta(V)\eta(Z)g(Y, \phi W) \\ + \eta(V)\eta(W)g(\phi Y, Z) - g(Y, W)g(V, Z) + g(Y, W)g(\phi V, Z) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n = \xi\}$ be a frame of orthonormal basis of the tangent space at any point of the manifold. If we put $V = W = e_i$ in (6.3) and summing up with respect to $i(1 \leq i \leq n)$, then we obtain

$$\bar{S}(Y, Z) = (n - 1 - \psi)g(Y, Z). \quad (6.4)$$

Thus we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 6.1. *If an n -dimensional Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection satisfies the condition $R \cdot \bar{R} = 0$, then the manifold is an Einstein manifold of the form (6.4).*

7. RICCI SEMISYMMETRIES IN LORENTZIAN PARA-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS WITH RESPECT
TO THE CONNECTIONS $\bar{\nabla}$ AND ∇

Assuming that the manifold is Ricci symmetric with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection $\bar{\nabla}$, therefore we have

$$(\bar{R}(X, Y) \cdot \bar{S})(U, V) = -\bar{S}(\bar{R}(X, Y)U, V) - \bar{S}(U, \bar{R}(X, Y)V) \quad (7.1)$$

for all $X, Y, U, V \in \chi(M)$. In view of (3.2) and (3.3), (7.1) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{R}(X, Y) \cdot \bar{S})(U, V) &= (R(X, Y) \cdot S)(U, V) - (n - 2 - \psi)[R(X, Y, U, V) \\ &+ R(X, Y, V, U)] + (n - 2)[g(R(X, Y)U, \phi V) + g(R(X, Y)V, \phi U)] \\ &\quad - (n - 2)[\eta(R(X, Y)U)\eta(V) + \eta(R(X, Y)V)\eta(U)] \\ &\quad - g(X, \phi U)\bar{S}(Y, V) - g(X, \phi V)\bar{S}(U, Y) + g(Y, \phi U)\bar{S}(X, V) \\ &\quad + g(Y, \phi V)\bar{S}(X, U) + g(Y, U)\bar{S}(\phi X, V) + g(Y, V)\bar{S}(U, \phi X) \\ &\quad - g(X, U)\bar{S}(\phi Y, V) - g(X, V)\bar{S}(U, \phi Y) - g(Y, U)\eta(X)\bar{S}(\xi, V) \\ &\quad - g(Y, V)\eta(X)\bar{S}(U, \xi) + g(X, U)\eta(Y)\bar{S}(\xi, V) + g(X, V)\eta(Y)\bar{S}(\xi, U) \\ &\quad - g(Y, U)\bar{S}(X, V) - g(Y, V)\bar{S}(X, U) + g(X, U)\bar{S}(Y, V) \\ &\quad + g(X, V)\bar{S}(U, Y) - \eta(Y)\eta(U)\bar{S}(X, V) - \eta(Y)\eta(V)\bar{S}(X, U) \\ &\quad + \eta(X)\eta(U)\bar{S}(Y, V) + \eta(X)\eta(V)\bar{S}(Y, U) \end{aligned}$$

which by using (2.8) and the fact that $R(X, Y, U, V) + R(X, Y, V, U) = 0$ turns to

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{R}(X, Y) \cdot \bar{S})(U, V) &= (R(X, Y) \cdot S)(U, V) + (n - 2)[g(R(X, Y)U, \phi V) \\ &+ g(R(X, Y)V, \phi U)] - (2n - 3 - \psi)[g(Y, U)\eta(X)\eta(V) - g(X, U)\eta(Y)\eta(V) \\ &\quad + g(Y, V)\eta(X)\eta(U) - g(X, V)\eta(Y)\eta(U)] - g(X, \phi U)\bar{S}(Y, V) \\ &\quad - g(X, \phi V)\bar{S}(U, Y) + g(Y, \phi U)\bar{S}(X, V) + g(Y, \phi V)\bar{S}(X, U) \\ &\quad + g(Y, U)\bar{S}(\phi X, V) + g(Y, V)\bar{S}(U, \phi X) - g(X, U)\bar{S}(\phi Y, V) \\ &\quad - g(X, V)\bar{S}(U, \phi Y) - g(Y, U)\bar{S}(X, V) - g(Y, V)\bar{S}(X, U) \\ &\quad + g(X, U)\bar{S}(Y, V) + g(X, V)\bar{S}(U, Y) - \eta(Y)\eta(U)\bar{S}(X, V) \\ &\quad - \eta(Y)\eta(V)\bar{S}(X, U) + \eta(X)\eta(U)\bar{S}(Y, V) + \eta(X)\eta(V)\bar{S}(Y, U). \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

Suppose that $(\bar{R}(X, Y) \cdot \bar{S})(U, V) = (R(X, Y) \cdot S)(U, V)$, then from (7.2), it follows that

$$(n - 2)[g(R(X, Y)U, \phi V) + g(R(X, Y)V, \phi U)]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -(2n - 3 - \psi)[g(Y, U)\eta(X)\eta(V) - g(X, U)\eta(Y)\eta(V) \\
& + g(Y, V)\eta(X)\eta(U) - g(X, V)\eta(Y)\eta(U)] - g(X, \phi U)\bar{S}(Y, V) \\
& - g(X, \phi V)\bar{S}(U, Y) + g(Y, \phi U)\bar{S}(X, V) + g(Y, \phi V)\bar{S}(X, U) \\
& + g(Y, U)\bar{S}(\phi X, V) + g(Y, V)\bar{S}(U, \phi X) - g(X, U)\bar{S}(\phi Y, V) \\
& - g(X, V)\bar{S}(U, \phi Y) - g(Y, U)\bar{S}(X, V) - g(Y, V)\bar{S}(X, U) \\
& + g(X, U)\bar{S}(Y, V) + g(X, V)\bar{S}(U, Y) - \eta(Y)\eta(U)\bar{S}(X, V) \\
& - \eta(Y)\eta(V)\bar{S}(X, U) + \eta(X)\eta(U)\bar{S}(Y, V) + \eta(X)\eta(V)\bar{S}(Y, U) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

which by taking $X = U = \xi$ and then using (2.1), (2.2) and (2.8) reduces to

$$\bar{S}(\phi Y, V) = (n - 2)g(Y, V) + (n - 2)\eta(Y)\eta(V) - (\psi - 1)g(Y, \phi V). \quad (7.3)$$

Now replacing V by ϕV in (7.3) and using (2.1), (2.2) and (3.10), we obtain

$$\bar{S}(Y, V) = (1 - \psi)g(Y, V) + (n - 2)g(Y, \phi V) - (n - 2)\eta(Y)\eta(V). \quad (7.4)$$

Thus we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 7.1. *Ricci semisymmetries with respect to $\bar{\nabla}$ and ∇ are equivalent if the manifold is a generalized η -Einstein manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection.*

8. LORENTZIAN PARA-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS WITH RESPECT TO THE SEMI-SYMMETRIC METRIC CONNECTION SATISFYING $C(\xi, X) \cdot \bar{S} = 0$

We consider that an n -dimensional Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection satisfies $C(\xi, X) \cdot \bar{S} = 0$. Then we have

$$\bar{S}(C(\xi, X)Y, Z) + \bar{S}(Y, C(\xi, X)Z) = 0. \quad (8.1)$$

From (2.14), we find

$$C(\xi, X)Y = \left[1 - \frac{r}{n(n-1)}\right](g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X). \quad (8.2)$$

By virtue of (8.2), (8.1) takes the form

$$\left[1 - \frac{r}{n(n-1)}\right](g(X, Y)\bar{S}(\xi, Z) - \eta(Y)\bar{S}(X, Z) + g(X, Z)\bar{S}(Y, \xi) - \eta(Z)\bar{S}(X, Y)) = 0$$

which by taking $Z = \xi$ and using (2.1), (2.2) and (3.9) gives

$$\left[1 - \frac{r}{n(n-1)}\right](\bar{S}(X, Y) - (n - 1 - \psi)g(X, Y)) = 0. \quad (8.3)$$

Thus we have either $r = n(n - 1)$, or

$$\bar{S}(X, Y) = (n - 1 - \psi)g(X, Y). \quad (8.4)$$

Thus we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 8.1. *If an n -dimensional Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection satisfies the condition $C(\xi, X) \cdot \bar{S} = 0$, then either the scalar curvature is constant or the manifold is an Einstein manifold of the form (8.4).*

9. LORENTZIAN PARA-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS WITH RESPECT TO THE SEMI-SYMMETRIC METRIC CONNECTION SATISFYING $\bar{Q} \cdot C = 0$

In this section we suppose that an n -dimensional Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection satisfies $\bar{Q} \cdot C = 0$. Then we have

$$\bar{Q}(C(X, Y)Z) - C(\bar{Q}X, Y)Z - C(X, \bar{Q}Y)Z - C(X, Y)\bar{Q}Z = 0 \quad (9.1)$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$. In view of (2.14), it follows from (9.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{Q}(R(X, Y)Z) - R(\bar{Q}X, Y)Z - R(X, \bar{Q}Y)Z - R(X, Y)\bar{Q}Z \\ &\quad + \frac{2r}{n(n-1)}(\bar{S}(Y, Z)X - \bar{S}(X, Z)Y) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

which by taking inner product with ξ yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\eta(\bar{Q}(R(X, Y)Z)) - \eta(R(\bar{Q}X, Y)Z) - \eta(R(X, \bar{Q}Y)Z) - \eta(R(X, Y)\bar{Q}Z) \\ &\quad + \frac{2r}{n(n-1)}(S(Y, Z)\eta(X) - S(X, Z)\eta(Y)) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (9.2)$$

Putting $Y = \xi$ in (9.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\eta(\bar{Q}(R(X, \xi)Z)) - \eta(R(\bar{Q}X, \xi)Z) - \eta(R(X, \bar{Q}\xi)Z) - \eta(R(X, \xi)\bar{Q}Z) \\ &\quad + \frac{2r}{n(n-1)}(S(\xi, Z)\eta(X) - S(X, Z)\eta(\xi)) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (9.3)$$

From (2.9), we can easily find

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\bar{Q}(R(X, \xi)Z)) &= \eta(R(X, \bar{Q}\xi)Z) = (n - 1 - \psi)(g(X, Z) + \eta(X)\eta(Z)), \\ \eta(R(\bar{Q}X, \xi)Z) &= \eta(R(X, \xi)\bar{Q}Z) = (n - 1 - \psi)\eta(X)\eta(Z) + \bar{S}(X, Z). \end{aligned} \quad (9.4)$$

By making use of (2.1), (3.9) and (9.4), (9.3) reduces to

$$\left[\frac{r}{n(n-1)} - 1\right](\bar{S}(X, Z) + (n - 1 - \psi)\eta(X)\eta(Z)) = 0.$$

Thus we have either $r = n(n - 1)$, or

$$\bar{S}(X, Z) = -(n - 1 - \psi)\eta(X)\eta(Z). \quad (9.5)$$

Thus we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 9.1. *If an n -dimensional Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection satisfies the condition $\bar{Q} \cdot C = 0$, then either the scalar curvature is constant or the manifold is a special type of η -Einstein manifold of the form (9.5).*

Example. We consider the 5-dimensional manifold $M = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \in R^5\}$, where $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$ are the standard coordinates in R^5 . Let e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 and e_5 be the vector fields on M given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= \cosh x_5 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \sinh x_5 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, & e_2 &= \sinh x_5 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \cosh x_5 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \\ e_3 &= \cosh x_5 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + \sinh x_5 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4}, & e_4 &= \sinh x_5 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + \cosh x_5 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4}, & e_5 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5} = \xi, \end{aligned}$$

which are linearly independent at each point of M and hence form a basis of $T_p M$. Let g be the Lorentzian metric on M defined by

$$g(e_i, e_i) = 1, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 4 \text{ and } g(e_5, e_5) = -1,$$

$$g(e_i, e_j) = 0, \text{ for } i \neq j, 1 \leq i \leq 5 \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq 5.$$

Let η be the 1-form defined by $\eta(X) = g(X, e_5) = g(X, \xi)$ for all $X \in \chi(M)$, and let ϕ be the $(1, 1)$ -tensor field defined by

$$\phi e_1 = -e_2, \phi e_2 = -e_1, \phi e_3 = -e_4, \phi e_4 = -e_3, \phi e_5 = 0.$$

By applying linearity of ϕ and g , we have

$$\eta(\xi) = g(\xi, \xi) = -1, \phi^2 X = X + \eta(X)\xi \text{ and } g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

for all $X, Y \in \chi(M)$. Thus for $e_5 = \xi$, the structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) defines a Lorentzian almost paracontact metric structure on M . Then we have

$$[e_1, e_2] = [e_1, e_3] = [e_1, e_4] = [e_2, e_3] = [e_2, e_4] = [e_3, e_4] = 0,$$

$$[e_1, e_5] = -e_2, [e_2, e_5] = -e_1, [e_3, e_5] = -e_4, [e_4, e_5] = -e_3.$$

The Levi-Civita connection ∇ of the Lorentzian metric g is given by

$$2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(Z, X) - Zg(X, Y) - g(X, [Y, Z]) + g(Y, [Z, X]) + g(Z, [X, Y]),$$

which is known as Koszul's formula. Using Koszul's formula, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{e_1}e_1 &= 0, \nabla_{e_1}e_2 = -e_5, \nabla_{e_1}e_3 = 0, \nabla_{e_1}e_4 = 0, \nabla_{e_1}e_5 = -e_2, \\ \nabla_{e_2}e_1 &= -e_5, \nabla_{e_2}e_2 = 0, \nabla_{e_2}e_3 = 0, \nabla_{e_2}e_4 = 0, \nabla_{e_2}e_5 = -e_1, \\ \nabla_{e_3}e_1 &= 0, \nabla_{e_3}e_2 = 0, \nabla_{e_3}e_3 = 0, \nabla_{e_3}e_4 = -e_5, \nabla_{e_3}e_5 = -e_4, \\ \nabla_{e_4}e_1 &= 0, \nabla_{e_4}e_2 = 0, \nabla_{e_4}e_3 = -e_5, \nabla_{e_4}e_4 = 0, \nabla_{e_4}e_5 = -e_3, \\ \nabla_{e_5}e_1 &= 0, \nabla_{e_5}e_2 = 0, \nabla_{e_5}e_3 = 0, \nabla_{e_5}e_4 = 0, \nabla_{e_5}e_5 = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Also one can easily verify that

$$\nabla_X\xi = \phi X \quad \text{and} \quad (\nabla_X\phi)Y = g(X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X + 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi.$$

Therefore, the manifold is a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold. By using (1.3), we find

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\nabla}_{e_1}e_1 &= -e_5, \bar{\nabla}_{e_1}e_2 = -e_5, \bar{\nabla}_{e_1}e_3 = 0, \bar{\nabla}_{e_1}e_4 = 0, \bar{\nabla}_{e_1}e_5 = -e_1 - e_2, \\ \bar{\nabla}_{e_2}e_1 &= -e_5, \bar{\nabla}_{e_2}e_2 = -e_5, \bar{\nabla}_{e_2}e_3 = 0, \bar{\nabla}_{e_2}e_4 = 0, \bar{\nabla}_{e_2}e_5 = -e_1 - e_2, \\ \bar{\nabla}_{e_3}e_1 &= 0, \bar{\nabla}_{e_3}e_2 = 0, \bar{\nabla}_{e_3}e_3 = -e_5, \bar{\nabla}_{e_3}e_4 = -e_5, \bar{\nabla}_{e_3}e_5 = -e_3 - e_4, \\ \bar{\nabla}_{e_4}e_1 &= 0, \bar{\nabla}_{e_4}e_2 = 0, \bar{\nabla}_{e_4}e_3 = -e_5, \bar{\nabla}_{e_4}e_4 = -e_5, \bar{\nabla}_{e_4}e_5 = -e_3 - e_4, \\ \bar{\nabla}_{e_5}e_1 &= 0, \bar{\nabla}_{e_5}e_2 = 0, \bar{\nabla}_{e_5}e_3 = 0, \bar{\nabla}_{e_5}e_4 = 0, \bar{\nabla}_{e_5}e_5 = 0.\end{aligned}$$

From the above results, we can easily obtain the components of the curvature tensor as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}R(e_1, e_2)e_1 &= e_2, R(e_1, e_2)e_2 = -e_1, R(e_1, e_3)e_1 = 0, R(e_1, e_3)e_3 = 0, \\ R(e_1, e_4)e_1 &= 0, R(e_1, e_4)e_4 = 0, R(e_1, e_5)e_1 = -e_5, R(e_1, e_5)e_5 = -e_1, \\ R(e_2, e_3)e_2 &= 0, R(e_2, e_3)e_3 = 0, R(e_2, e_4)e_2 = 0, R(e_2, e_4)e_4 = 0, \\ R(e_2, e_5)e_2 &= -e_5, R(e_2, e_5)e_5 = -e_2, R(e_3, e_4)e_3 = e_4, R(e_3, e_4)e_4 = -e_3, \\ R(e_3, e_5)e_3 &= -e_5, R(e_3, e_5)e_5 = -e_3, R(e_4, e_5)e_4 = -e_5, R(e_4, e_5)e_5 = -e_4,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{R}(e_1, e_2)e_1 &= 0, \bar{R}(e_1, e_2)e_2 = 0, \bar{R}(e_1, e_3)e_1 = -e_3 - e_4, \bar{R}(e_1, e_3)e_3 = e_1 + e_2, \\ \bar{R}(e_1, e_4)e_1 &= -e_3 - e_4, \bar{R}(e_1, e_4)e_4 = e_1 + e_2, \bar{R}(e_1, e_5)e_1 = -e_5, \bar{R}(e_1, e_5)e_5 = -e_1 - e_2, \\ \bar{R}(e_2, e_3)e_2 &= -e_3 - e_4, \bar{R}(e_2, e_3)e_3 = -e_1 - e_2, \bar{R}(e_2, e_4)e_2 = -e_3 - e_4, \bar{R}(e_2, e_4)e_4 = e_1 + e_2, \\ \bar{R}(e_2, e_5)e_2 &= -e_5, \bar{R}(e_2, e_5)e_5 = -e_1 - e_2, \bar{R}(e_3, e_4)e_3 = 0, \bar{R}(e_3, e_4)e_4 = 0, \\ \bar{R}(e_3, e_5)e_3 &= -e_5, \bar{R}(e_3, e_5)e_5 = -e_3 - e_4, \bar{R}(e_4, e_5)e_4 = -e_5, \bar{R}(e_4, e_5)e_5 = -e_3 - e_4.\end{aligned}$$

From these curvature tensors, we calculate

$$S(e_1, e_1) = S(e_2, e_2) = S(e_3, e_3) = S(e_4, e_4) = 0, \quad S(e_5, e_5) = -4, \quad (9.6)$$

$$\bar{S}(e_1, e_1) = \bar{S}(e_2, e_2) = \bar{S}(e_3, e_3) = \bar{S}(e_4, e_4) = 3, \quad \bar{S}(e_5, e_5) = -4. \quad (9.7)$$

Therefore, from (9.6) and (9.7) we obtain $r = 4$ and $\bar{r} = 16$, respectively. Thus it can be seen that the equation (3.5) is satisfied, where $\psi = \sum_{i=1}^5 \epsilon_i g(\phi e_i, e_i) = 0$.

From (1.1), we calculate the components of torsion tensor as follows:

$$T(e_i, e_j) = 0, \text{ for } 1 \leq i, j \leq 5, \quad T(e_i, e_5) = -e_i, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (9.8)$$

From (1.2), it can be easily seen that

$$(\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} g)(e_j, e_k) = 0, \text{ for any } 1 \leq i, j, k \leq 5. \quad (9.9)$$

Thus by virtue of (9.8) and (9.9), we say that the linear connection $\bar{\nabla}$ defined by (1.3) on the manifold M is a semi-symmetric metric connection.

Acknowledgement. The authors are thankful to the referees for their valuable suggestions towards the improvement of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] De, U. C and Biswas, S. C., On a type of semi-symmetric metric connection on a Riemannian manifold, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N. S.), 61(75) (1997), 90-96.
- [2] De, U. C., Matsumoto, K. and Shaikh, A. A., On Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds, Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico di Messina, Serie II, 3(1999), 149-158.
- [3] Deszcz, R., On pseudosymmetric spaces, Bull. Soc. Belg. Math., Ser A., 44(1992), 1-34.
- [4] Friedmann, A. and Schouten, J. A., Uber die Geometric der holbsymmetrischen Ubertragurgen, Math. Z., 21(1924), 211-233.
- [5] Haseeb, A., Khan, M. A. and Siddiqi, M. D., Some more results on an ϵ -Kenmotsu manifold with a semi-symmetric metric connection, Acta Math. Univ. Comenian., 85(2016), 9-20.
- [6] Haseeb, A. and Prasad, R., Certain curvature conditions in Kenmotsu manifolds with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection, Comm. Korean Math. Soc., 32(2017), 1033-1045.
- [7] Hayden, H. A., Subspaces of space with torsion, Proc. London Math. Soc., 34(1932), 27-50.
- [8] Kowalczyk, D., On some subclass of semisymmetric manifolds, Soochow J. Math., 27(2001), 445-461.
- [9] Kowalski, O., An explicit classification of 3-dimensional Riemannian spaces satisfying $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$, Czech. Math. J., 46(1996), 427-474.
- [10] Malekzadeh, N., Abedi, E. and De, U. C., Pseudosymmetric and Weyl-pseudosymmetric (k, μ) -contact metric manifolds, Archivum Mathematicum (BRNO), Tomus 52(2016), 1-12.
- [11] Matsumoto, K. and Mihai, I., On a certain transformation in a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold, Tensor (N. S.), 47(1988), 189-197.

- [12] Matsumoto, K., On Lorentzian paracontact manifolds, Bull. Yamagata Univ. nat. Sci., 12(1989), 151-156.
- [13] Mihai, I. and Rosca, R., On Lorentzian P-Sasakian manifolds. Classical Analysis, World Scientific Publi., Singapore, (1992), 155-169.
- [14] Mihai, I., Shaikh, A. A. and De, U. C., On Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds, Korean J. Math. Sci., 6(1999), 1-13.
- [15] Mirzoyan, V. A., Structure theorems on Riemannian Ricci semisymmetric spaces (Russian), Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat., 6(1992), 80-89.
- [16] Prasad, R. and Haseeb, A., On a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection, Novi Sad J. Math., 46(2016), 103-116.
- [17] Sharfuddin, S. and Husain, S. I., Semi-symmetric metric connexions in almost contact manifolds, Tensor (N. S.), 30(1976), 133-139.
- [18] Singh, R. N. and Pandey, S. K., On a quarter-symmetric metric connection in an LP -Sasakian manifold, Thai J. Math., 12(2014), 357-371.
- [19] Szabó, Z. I., Structure theorems on Riemannian spaces satisfying $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$ I, the local version. J. Differ. Geometry, 17(1982), 531-582.
- [20] Yadav, S., Narain, D. and Suthar, D. L., On the concircular curvature tensor of a semi-symmetric metric connection, Diff. Geom. and Dyn. Syst., 20(2018), 170-181.
- [21] Yano, K., On semi-symmetric connection, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl., 15(1970), 1570-1586.
- [22] Yano, K. and Kon, M., Structures on Manifolds, Series in Pure Math., Vol. 3, World Sci., 1984.
- [23] Yildiz, A., De, U. C. and Ata, E., On a type of Lorentzain para-Sasakian Manifolds, Math. Reports, 16(66), 1(2014), 61-67.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, JAZAN UNIVERSITY, JAZAN-2097, KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA.

Email address: malikhaseeb80@gmail.com, haseeb@jazanu.edu.sa

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND ASTRONOMY, UNIVERSITY OF LUCKNOW, LUCKNOW-226007, INDIA.

Email address: rp.manpur@rediffmail.com